Page 1 of 4

Exhibit "C"

VOLUME I
PAGES 1-223
EXHIBITS 1-13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-30189-MAP

PAUL T. PAPADAKIS, PLAINTIFF,

-VS

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

2

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CSX TRANSFORTATION, INC., DEFENDANT.

Deposition of ERNEST GATLOR, taken on behalf of the Defendant, pursuant to Notice under the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, before Daryll Palma Watts, a Professional Court Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of FLYNN & ASSOCIATES, P.C., 400 Crown Colony Drive, Quincy, Massachusetts, on Tuesday, October 11, 2005, commencing at 10:46 a.m.

BEACON HILL COURT REPORTING, INC. 44 BAYSWATER STREET BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02128 617-569-8050

BEACON HILL COURT REPORTING, INC. 617-569-8050

ADDEARANCES. 1 2 3 MICHAEL B. FLYNN, ESQUIRE
VALERIE A. MORPHY, ESQUIRE
FLYNN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
400 Crown Colony Drive - Suite 200
Quincy, Massachusetts 02169
Counsel for: The Defendant. 4 6 7 ROBERT M. BYRNE, JR., ESQUIRE
THORNTON & NAUMES, LLP
100 Summer Street 8 9 Boston, Massachusetts 02110 Counsel for: The Plaintiff 10 The Plaintiff. 11 ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Gary Baker 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

BEACON HILL COURT REPORTING, INC.

INDEX Direct Cross Redirect Witness ERNEST GALLOR By Mr. Flynn EXHIBITS Id. Description <u>Page</u> 1 Curriculum Vitae 8 Folder 65 67 2 Folder Correspondence dated February 09, 2004 71 4 5 Correspondence dated June 18, 2004 6 Correspondence dated May 27, 2004 80 Correspondence dated June 18, 2004 82 84 178 4 Memorandum dated June 21, 2005 10 Mr. Sanderson's Report 11 Report dated August 24, 2005 184 Report dated August 24, 2005 185 12 13 Report dated August 24, 2005 186

PROCEEDINGS 1 * * * 2 3 MR. FLYNN: The usual stipulations: objections, except as to form, and motions to 4 strike reserved until the time of trial. MR. BYRNE: Agreed. 6 MR. FLYNN: He'll read and sign? MR. BYRNE: Agreed. ß MR. FLYNN: Waive the notary. MR. BYRNE: Agreed. 10 DEPONENT, ERNEST GAILOR, having 11 12 produced satisfactory identification by means of a New York Driver's License, being sworn, deposes 13 and states as follows: 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: 15 Sir, would you please state your name for the 16 0 1.7 record? Ernest J. Gailor. 18 A Q What's your current residential address? 4000 Silver Beach Road, Malta Ridge, New York. 20 Α What do you do for a living? Q I'm an engineer. 22 Α What kind of an engineer? 23 Q 24 Civil, environmental, structural.

132

BEACON HILL COURT REPORTING, INC. 617-569-8050

So he's the only one who actually saw what happened to him, correct? 2 3 Correct. The memo came from a fellow named Mr. Evers, Correct. He's out in Selkirk, right? 7 Correct. He never saw what happened, did he? ٥ o Only was told, that's correct. 10 You've reviewed his deposition, correct? 11 ٥ 12 Correct. You know that it's based on what other people 13 told him, correct? 14 15 Correct. But not including the plaintiff, correct? 16 o 17 Cornect. In other words, it's secondhand hearsay as we 18 like to call it, correct? 19 MR. BYRNB: Objection. 20 In other words, somebody, Mr. Papadakis told 21 22 somebody something and that somebody then told Mr. Evers what happened and then he wrote his 23 memo; is that your understanding of how it 24

> BEACON HILL COURT REPORTING, INC. 617-569-8050

111

occurred? 1 MR. BYRNE: Objection. 2 My understanding is is that the maintenance 3 A people found what happened, repaired it and then 4 passed that information along. The maintenance people being Mr. Ebert? 6 0 7 Correct. A At TNT? 8 0 Yes, TNT. By the time it got out to TNT what we know is 10 0 that the wheel was over-centered? 11 12 A Correct. In other words, the pilot arm and the wheel were 13 Q pointing out toward the front of the vehicle, not 14 away from the vehicle as opposed to its normal 15 position which would be back into the vehicle? By on center, correct. 17 A You understand from reviewing Mr. Papadakis' 18 19 deposition that it's his testimony that that's not what occurred at all, correct? 20 I understand. That's correct. 21 Mr. Papadakis' testimony was that the wheel never 22 0 23 went over center, correct? 24 Correct. A

| | | ** |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | Ω | And that the only thing that he had a problem |
| 2 | | with was getting it back up into the highway |
| 3 | | position, correct? |
| 4 | A | Correct. |
| 5 | Q | In your opinion to form the basis of your |
| 6 | | opinions, which we'll get to a little bit later, |
| 7 | | but to get to your opinions do you completely |
| 8 | | disregard what Mr. Papadakis says? |
| 9 | A | There are portions of his testimony that I do |
| 10 | | disregard. |
| 11 | Q | That portion being what he says happened to the |
| 12 | | device itself, correct? |
| 13 | A | Correct. |
| 14 | Q | You have to completely disregard that to get to |
| 15 | | your opinion, correct? |
| 16 | A | Correct. |
| 17 | Q | Because if you believe what he said there's no |
| 10 | | evidence of any defect, correct? |
| 19 | İ | MR. BYRNE: Objection. |
| 20 | A | If I believe what he said there was a defect, but |
| 21 | | I was unable to determine what it was. |
| 22 | Q | Something happened when he tried to put it back |
| 23 | | up, but you have no way of explaining it, |
| 24 | | correct? |
| | • | |

115

116

| | | 12 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | MR. BYRNE: Objection. |
| 2 | A | Short of a material failure. |
| 3 | Q | There's no evidence of a material failure? |
| 4 | A | I have no evidence of a material failure. |
| 5 | Q | But the evidence is that since it was working |
| 6 | | properly once Mr. Rbert brought it back into the |
| 7 | | proper position and the evidence is actually to |
| в | | the contrary; that, in fact, there was no |
| 9 | | material there, correct? |
| 10 | A | Correct. |
| 11 | Q | Then the vehicle was put in service and is still |
| 12 | | in service today without a recurrence of any |
| 13 | | material failure, correct? |
| 14 | | MR. BYRNE: Objection. |
| 15 | A | I don't know that. |
| 16 | Q | Well you have been given subsequent maintenance |
| 17 | | and repair records, correct? |
| 18 | A | Up until a date, up until the date that I have |
| 19 | | information, yes. |
| 20 | Q | About six months ago, a year ago? |
| 21 | A | I know of nothing other than up to that date. |
| 22 | Q | So the vehicle continued so let's just review |
| 23 | | it. Ebert inspects it and once he gets it back |

BEACON HILL COURT REPORTING, INC. 617-569-8050

So then to come to your ominion which you have

reasonable certainty, correct?

That's true.

2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

A

A

Α

Α

to the proper position it works properly, right?

That's my understanding. 2 So that's evidence that there was no material defect, correct? 3 Correct. Based on the repair records that you have to date 5 or to the point that you got them the vehicle was 6 in service for several years afterwards without 7 any recurrence of this problem, correct? 8 Up to the limit of the records, correct. That's evidence again that there was no material 10 11 defect at that time or since, correct? 12 Correct. To get to your opinion you have to disregard how 13 Mr. Papadakis described the incident as having 14 happened, correct? 15 16 MR. BYRNE: Objection. His descriptions of how he dropped the gear and 17 then how he tried to raise the gear I believe are 18 accurate. His descriptions of how he was hurt 19 20 are probably accurate. His descriptions of what 21 he thinks happened to the gear I don't think are accurate. 22 That's because you cannot explain how they would 23 24 have or could have occurred to any degree of

> BEACON HILL COURT REPORTING, INC. 617-569-8050

| ! | 20 then to come to your objuston which you have |
|---|---|
| | based on a reasonable degree of certainty you |
| | start with the assumption that the wheel had |
| | overcammed, correct? |
| | I don't think I started with that assumption. |
| | Initially I was looking for some kind of |
| | mechanical error, some material defect, a broken |
| | shaft, a broken key, any of those items. But I |
| | didn't see evidence or there was no evidence |
| | presented to me that indicated any of that was |
| | there. From there we stepped into the |
| | overcaming. |
| | So your first instinct in this case based on your |
| | professional experience was something must have |

been wrong with the device in terms of its

Did you express that opinion to anybody?

Did you tell plaintiff's counsel that?

I believe we talked about it.

Were you persuaded otherwise?

materials, correct?

Correct.

Oh. ves.

Well I went out and did my inspection. Looked at the equipment. Looked at the maintenance 2 records. I really was looking for a materials list as to what was used to fix this thing, and there was none. At that time I had to step back 6 and go a different direction with it. 7 So even up to the point of the inspection you were still thinking there's got to be a material defect? 10 Correct. You weren't thinking of overcamming? 13 12 I wasn't. Or how the device could come to overcam? 13 o Well I knew it could. I just didn't -- from what 14 I understood of the accident I didn't believe 15 16 that overcamming had been the cause. When you did your inspection you found no evidence of any material defect, right? 18 19 Was it at that point that you started to drop 20 21 that opinion from the list of possibilities? 22 I believe -- I don't know if we got maintenance records after that. I know we got some 23 24 maintenance records well after that. But I don't